

[Manuscript Title — Concise, Specific, and Descriptive]

First Author¹, Second Author², Third Author¹

¹*Department, Institution, City, Country*

²*Department, Institution, City, Country*

Corresponding author e-mail: name@institution.edu

Abstract

[Provide a single paragraph of 150–250 words summarizing the purpose, methodology, key results, and principal conclusions of the study. Avoid citations, abbreviations, and undefined acronyms in the abstract.]

Keywords: *keyword one; keyword two; keyword three; keyword four; keyword five*

ISSN	DOI	Received	Accepted
[e-ISSN XXXX-XXXX]	[10.xxxxx/xxxxx]	[DD Month YYYY]	[DD Month YYYY]

1. Introduction

[State the background, motivation, and problem statement. Summarize relevant prior work and clearly identify the research gap. Conclude with the specific objectives and contributions of this paper.]

2. Related Work / Literature Review

[Critically review existing literature relevant to the problem. Group related studies thematically rather than listing them chronologically. Highlight limitations of existing approaches that this work addresses.]

3. Methodology

[Describe the proposed approach, dataset(s), experimental setup, algorithms, or theoretical framework in sufficient detail for reproducibility. Equations should be numbered sequentially and referenced in the text, e.g., “as shown in Equation (1)”.]

3.1 Dataset / Materials

[Describe data sources, preprocessing steps, and any ethical/consent considerations.]

3.2 Proposed Approach

[Describe the model, algorithm, or system architecture. Formulate the core relationship as follows:]

$$y = f(Wx + b) \tag{1}$$

[Explain each term in the equation immediately after it appears — e.g., where W denotes the weight matrix, x the input vector, b the bias term, and $f(\cdot)$ the activation function.]

$$L(\theta) = - \sum_i y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) \tag{2}$$

[A second example — a loss function — showing how sequential equation numbering continues across the manuscript. Reference it in text as Equation (2).]

4. Results

[Present findings with supporting tables and figures. Report quantitative metrics clearly, using consistent units and significant figures.]

Table 1: [Table caption — place above the table]

Metric	Baseline	Proposed Method
Accuracy (%)	[value]	[value]
F1-score	[value]	[value]

[Reference the table in text before it appears, e.g., “Table 1 summarizes...”]

5. Discussion

[Interpret the results in the context of existing literature. Discuss implications, limitations, and unexpected findings.]

6. Conclusion and Future Work

[Summarize key contributions and findings. Suggest directions for future research. Avoid introducing new results here.]

Acknowledgements

[Optional. Acknowledge funding sources, grant numbers, or individuals who contributed but do not meet authorship criteria.]

Conflict of Interest

[State any financial or personal conflicts of interest, or declare “The authors declare no conflict of interest.”]

References

- [1] A. Author and B. Author, “Title of the paper,” *Journal Name*, vol. X, no. Y, pp. 1–10, Year.
- [2] C. Author, D. Author, and E. Author, “Title of another paper,” in *Proc. Conference Name*, City, Country, Year, pp. 1–5.